

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,497	09/23/2003	Tsugio Okamoto	117273	1913
25944 OLIFF & BER	7590 04/21/200 PRIDGE PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 320	850	SHAH, MANISH S		
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2853	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/667,497	OKAMOTO ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Manish S. Shah	2853					

	Manish S. Shah	2853					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 28 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
periods:	T N 1.114. The reply must be med	within one of the follow	ving time				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (a) above, if checket. Any reply re-evened by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
		er-a					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMEDIAN TO	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to			cause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		I E Delow);					
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better 		di inima na nimatifidan si					
appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially re-	auding or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reig	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving. 		I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
Description of the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	TOT THE STATUS OF THE CHAINS AFTER E	illy is below or allacin	eu.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
	/Manish S. Shah/						
	Primary Examiner						
	Art Unit: 2853						

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argued that hole is not the same as a recessed portion, however applicant didn't have customary meaning of the term "recessed" in the specification. Therefore examiner took the broadest meaning of the recessed, which is some kind of break in a layer, a small hollow, or a breather and hole is also a kind of break or breather. Therefore Milstuffine still reads on that limitation.

Applicant argued that the absorber are not placed between the bottom surface of the recessed portion and the rear surface of the conveyor belt, however applicant didn't claim, they just claiming that the absorber disposed at the rear surface of the conveyor belt. Therefore Mitsuhir creads on the present claim language.